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Courses in formal logic are taught in most English-speaking philosophy departments. Yet very little effort seems to have been made to deliver these courses in a way that improves learning outcomes. A typical introductory course in formal logic still involves a standard lecture format, with tutorials, problem sets and closed book exams. Textbooks tend to be pricey ($100 and up). Logic courses often have a highly skewed gender split, and instructors face the issue of teaching to a wide range of student backgrounds and expectations. Grade distributions are lower than average for philosophy courses, and the D-F-withdraw rate is high.

New Logic Course

In Winter 2017, the first author piloted a course in formal logic in which we aimed to (a) improve student engagement and mastery of the content, and (b) reduce maths anxiety and its negative effects on student outcomes, by adopting student oriented teaching including peer instruction and classroom flipping techniques. The course implemented a partially flipped approach, and incorporated group-work and peer learning elements, while retaining some of the traditional lecture format. By doing this, a wide variety of student learning preferences could be provided for.

Open Textbook

We revised and remixed a free and open source textbook, forall x (Magnus et al. 2017) which was used as the primary text. We evaluated student satisfaction using a survey instrument based on the textbook Assessment and Usage Scale (Gurung and Martin 2011), and compared it to student satisfaction with the traditional textbooks in use in other sections of the course (Chellas 1997, Goldfarb 2013). The survey also asked about use patterns, e.g., how often students consulted the textbook, for what purpose the consulted the text, and how they interacted with it. (For forall x, n = 25; Chellas, n = 31; Goldfarb, n = 45.) The survey was approved by the Conjoint Faculties Research Ethics Board.

Textbook Use

Student Satisfaction

- **Partial Flipped Classroom**
  - The flipped portion of class involved providing short (5–6 min) screencasts a few days before scheduled groupwork.
  - The groupwork then covered material from the screenplay as well as from the previous 2–3 lectures. Students were also expected to read the relevant portions of the textbook.
  - The screencasts themselves were online videos with the instructor’s scripted voice recorded over slides, covering central concepts and themes. The students thus learned a good deal of material via screencasts, peer learning during groupwork, and the textbook.

- **Groupwork**
  - The students were put into groups of 5 or 6 based on their tutorial sessions, and upper level students were distributed as evenly as possible. Dividing the students by tutorial session allowed the assignments to be completed over the course of one class session plus one tutorial. This way, students always had access to the instructor or TA, and needn’t get together outside of class times.
  - Groupwork assignments generally had fewer, but difficult or open-ended questions. This was meant to encourage collaboration and discussion, as well as to expose the students to more advanced material when they were able to ask questions.

- **Other Course Components**
  - Generally 1–2 classes per week were traditional lectures, though these were often broken up by other activities, some of which included brief group discussions. There were also 3 in-class tests, and 6 homework assignments. Homework was completed over one week, and generally consisted of many shorter questions. Finally, there was a participation component based primarily on groupwork, which included peer evaluation.

- **D-F-Withdraw Rates**
  - The DFW rates for the 10 sections of Logic I in 2014/15 and 2015/16 ranged from 8% to 36%, with mean 23.4% and median 23.7%. The DFW rate for this course was 7.9%. This is in line with the two lowest rates (8%, 8.3%), but those were outliers, the next lowest being 18%. This suggests that our approach may help retain students.

- **Limitations**
  - Validity of survey results and DFW rates may be limited by a number of factors. Differences in textbooks and instructor and mastery of the content, and (b) reduce maths anxiety and its negative effects on student outcomes, by adopting student oriented teaching including peer instruction and classroom flipping techniques. The course implemented a partially flipped approach, and incorporated group-work and peer learning elements, while retaining some of the traditional lecture format. By doing this, a wide variety of student learning preferences could be provided for.

- **References**
  - J. R. Bucalgary.ca

- **Discussion**
  - Despite the mentioned limitations, the very positive responses to both the book and the course structure suggest that this method of teaching logic, and the chosen open text book forall x, help improve learning outcomes and student retention. In the instructor’s opinion, groupwork in particular was genuinely helpful for students.

**Student Evaluation**

With CFREB approval, we added questions to the standard faculty teaching evaluation form to gauge student satisfaction with the revised format of the course (n = 55).
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